## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

OTHILL	DIMILD	blide	COOKI			
	for the			FILED		
	Eastern District of	California		Aug 11, 2021 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
United States of America	)			EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
v.	)					
JOSHUA WILSON	)	Case No.	2:21-m-000126-K	JN		
Defendant						
	OF DETENTION	J PENDIN	IG TRIAL			
	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL  Part I - Eligibility for Detention					
Upon the	- w. v. z		•			
Motion of the Government a						
Motion of the Government of						
the Court held a detention hearing and found and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U						
Part II - Findings o	f Fact and Law as t	o Presumpt	ions under § 3142	(e)		
presumption that no condition or comband the community because the follow  (1) the defendant is charged wi  (a) a crime of violence, a  § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which  (b) an offense for which t  (c) an offense for which a  Controlled Substances Ac  (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), c  (d) any felony if such personal controlled in subparagraph	pination of conditions ring conditions have a th one of the following violation of 18 U.S.C. the a maximum term of the maximum term of in the text (21 U.S.C. §§ 801- tor Chapter 705 of Title son has been convicted agraph, or two or months (a) through (c) of the	will reason been met:  ng crimes de C. § 1591, or of imprisonment e is life imprisonment 904), the Core 46, U.S.C. ed of two or re State or lohis paragrap	ably assure the safe escribed in 18 U.S.C an offense listed in nent of 10 years or risonment or death; of 10 years or mor ntrolled Substances (46 U.S.C. §§ 705 more offenses described of the safe ocal offenses that we h if a circumstance	ty of any other person  C. § 3142(f)(1):  18 U.S.C.  more is prescribed; or  e is prescribed in the s Import and Export Act 01-70508); or  cribed in subparagraphs ould have been offenses		
jurisdiction had existed, o						
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the (iii) any other dangerous	possession of a firea	rm or destru	ctive device (as de			
(2) the defendant has previousl § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or lo to Federal jurisdiction had exis	cal offense that woul					
(3) the offense described in par	•					
committed while the defendant		V.—				
(4) a period of not more than findefendant from imprisonment,						

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years
or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

## Case 2:21-cr-00155-JAM Document 8 Filed 08/11/21 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States	
Lack of legal status in the United States	
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration	
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	
Use of alias(es) or false documents	
Background information unknown or unverified	
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release	
_	

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:

8/11/2021

DENNIS M. COTA, United States Magistrate Judge